SPANISH MOUNTAIN GOLD LTD.

Management Discussion & Analysis

For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2012

Dated: May 24, 2012

The following is the management's discussion and analysis ("MD&A") of the financial condition and results of operations of Spanish Mountain Gold Ltd. (the "Company"). This MD&A should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements, including the notes thereto, of the Company for the years ended December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 as well as the unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements for the three months ended March 31, 2012.

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements and related notes are presented in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards 34, Interim Financial Reporting ("IAS 34"), which the Company has adopted effective January 1, 2011 with a transition date of January 1, 2010. These condensed consolidated interim financial statements, together with the following MD&A dated May 24, 2012 ("Report Date"), are intended to provide investors with a reasonable basis for assessing the financial performance of the Company as well as potential future performance. Please refer to the cautionary notices at the end of this MD&A, especially in regard to forward looking statements. All dollar amounts are in Canadian dollars unless otherwise noted.

Additional information relating to the Company including the Company's consolidated financial statements may be found under the Company's profile on SEDAR at <u>www.sedar.com</u> or by visiting the Company's website at <u>www.spanishmountaingold.com</u>.

This MD&A was reviewed by the Audit Committee and approved and authorized for issue by the Board of Directors on May 24, 2012. The information contained within this MD&A is current to May 24, 2012.

Overview

The Company is an exploration stage company engaged in the acquisition, exploration and development of mineral properties. The Company's primary asset is the Spanish Mountain property located approximately 180 kilometres (km) north of Kamloops, British Columbia. The Spanish Mountain property refers to the contiguous mineral and placer claims the Company holds while the Spanish Mountain gold project refers to the mineral resource that Company has defined in an area within the property. The Company completed a Preliminary Economic Assessment ("PEA") on the Spanish Mountain gold project in December 2010 and intends to proceeds with a National Instrument 43-101-compliant Feasibility Study ("FS"), which is expected to be completed by the third quarter of 2013. An updated resource estimate for the project was released in November 2011. The Company's other gold properties comprise the Sediment Hosted Gold (SHG) property group, which is both on trend and with similar geological setting as the Spanish Mountain property.

The Company's March 31, 2012 condensed consolidated interim financial statements reflect the financial position and results for the three months then ended including those for its wholly-owned subsidiary, Wildrose Resources Ltd. ("Wildrose"). All material inter-company transactions have been eliminated.

On February 22, 2012, the Company announced that it intends to proceed with a Feasibility Study for the Spanish Mountain gold project. Prior to the completion of the FS by the third quarter of 2013, the Company expects to publish a technical report in the fall of 2012 providing detailed technical and economic information on the Main and North Zones (hereinafter referred to as the "Main Zone") as well as the newly discovered Phoenix Zone.

In addition to the on-going technical/ economics studies, the Company has an infill drilling program underway at the Main Zone and released interim results on March 7, 2012 and May 1, 2012. The program comprises at least 25,000 metres (m) of drilling and is designed to support a further reclassification of Inferred gold ounces, as previously reported in a resource statement dated November 2011, to Measured and Indicated ounces. The Company also has planned a drill program totaling 15,000 m at the Phoenix Zone, which is expected to commence after the completion of the infill program at the Main Zone.

On March 27, 2012, the Company announced the signing of a Protocol Agreement with Soda Creek Indian Band ("Xat'sūll"). The agreement addresses the involvement of Xat'sūll during the environmental assessment and permitting review process in relation to the development of the Spanish Mountain gold project; and reflects a commitment by both the Company and Xat'sūll for continued engagement in a respectful and collaborative manner. The Company separately signed a Protocol Agreement with Williams Lake Indian Band in March 2011.

On April 17, 2012, the Company announced the appointment of Mr. Jim Rogers to the Board of Directors. Mr. Rogers is a critically acclaimed author, financial commentator and successful international investor. He is frequently featured in such

publications as *Time, The New York Times, Barron's, Forbes, The Wall Street Journal, and Financial Times* and is a regular guest on television shows around the world. In connection with the appointment, the Company granted 1,000,000 stock options with an exercise price of \$0.44 expiring April 11, 2017.

On April 23, 2012, the Company's Board of Directors authorized granting of incentive stock options to employees and directors to acquire an aggregate of 2,350,000 common shares of the Company. The options have an exercise price of \$0.55 per share, exercisable until April 23, 2017.

On May 15, 2012, the Company announced initial metallurgical results for the Phoenix Zone. The preliminary testwork, which were carried out with samples from the drilling program that identified the Phoenix Zone in 2011, has indicated that the same flowsheet as has been developed for the Main Zone is suitable for the new zone. The Company believes that a comparable gold recovery can be achieved without additional capital expenditure being required for the milling facilities currently being incorporated in the Spanish Mountain gold project's technical studies.

Mineral Assets

Spanish Mountain Gold Project, B.C.

Spanish Mountain gold project is located in south-central British Columbia, Canada, near the historic placer mining town of Likely, approximately 15 km northeast of the Mount Polley mine and 30 km north of Cariboo Rose Resource's Woodjam project. The property comprises 40 contiguous mineral claims and 13 placer claims and covers an area of approximately 80 square kilometres (or 31 square miles).

The following highlights the key recent development in respect of the Spanish Mountain gold project which is located in the northern part of the property area:

Metallurgy

Under the direction of Dr. Morris Beattie, extensive metallurgical testwork has been completed by G&T Metallurgical and SGS Lakefield and a flowsheet for the recovery of gold from the deposit has been developed. This flowsheet incorporates gravity concentration followed by flotation to produce concentrates that are subsequently leached by cyanidation. The gravity concentrate is leached by means of intensive cyanidation followed by regrinding and combination with the flotation concentrate for CIL leaching. Testwork on the Main Zone has demonstrated a gold recovery of 97% or better using this procedure for the gravity concentrate. The gold extraction from the Main Zone flotation concentrate by CIL leaching has been indicated to be 95 - 97%. Metallurgical testwork on the Main Zone has reached a prefeasibility level of detail and supports an overall gold recovery of approximately 90%.

With respect to the Phoenix Zone, preliminary testwork on samples collected in the 2011 drill program has indicated that the same flowsheet as has been developed for the Main Zone is suitable for the new zone and that a comparable gold recovery will be achieved without additional capital expenditure being required for the milling facilities currently being incorporated in the Project's technical studies. The testwork will now be advanced in order to optimize the cleaner flotation conditions and the cyanidation parameters.

Drilling and Other Field Activities

The Company commenced another in-fill drilling program totalling in excess of 25,000 m at the Main Zone with the objective of enabling a further re-classification of the multi-million ounce inferred resource, as reported in a resource estimated dated November 2011, to the measured and indicated categories. The program is expected to complete in May 2012 and the interim results of which were released in April and May 2012.

In December 2011, exploratory drilling in the northern Cedar Creek area of the property returned assay results indicating the presence of a new zone of gold mineralization. This zone, the Phoenix Zone, is currently defined over a strike extent of at least 1,000 m and is located in an area that is approximately two kilometres west of the Main Zone. The Company plans to further define the Phoenix Zone in 2012 with an initial drill program totalling approximately 15,000 m with the objective of publishing an initial resource statement for this deposit in the inferred category by the third quarter of 2012.

Tables containing all significant assay results are available on the Company's website and under the Company's profile on SEDAR.

Resource Estimate

On November 15, 2011, the Company released a National Instrument (NI) 43-101 compliant resource estimate for the Spanish Mountain gold project. This resource estimate is an update to the previous estimate disclosed by the Company in a news release dated November 23, 2010, following the completion of a PEA for the project.

The updated resource at selected gold cut-off grades is as follows:

Classification	Tonnes	Gold grade (g/t)	Silver grade (g/t)	Gold (ounces)	Silver (ounces)
Measured	11,390,000	0.81	0.60	300,000	220,000
Indicated	79,710,000	0.59	0.65	1,510,000	1,670,000
Measured & Indicated	91,090,000	0.62	0.64	1,820,000	1,870,000
Inferred	170,470,000	0.50	0.67	2,740,000	3,670,000

Resource Estimate at a 0.30 g/t gold cut-off

The PEA had established an economic cut-off of 0.2 g/t gold based on assumptions of operating costs for a potential open pit operation. These assumptions will be refined within the technical studies currently underway. The following table presents the updated resource at a cut-off grade of 0.2 g/t gold.

Classification	Tonnes	Gold grade	Silver grade	Gold	Silver
		(g/t)	(g/t)	(ounces)	(ounces)
Measured	14,950,000	0.68	0.61	330,000	290,000
Indicated	123,070,000	0.47	0.64	1,860,000	2,530,000
Measured & Indicated	138,030,000	0.49	0.64	2,170,000	2,840,000
Inferred	339,630,000	0.37	0.65	4,040,000	7,100,000

Resource Estimate at a 0.20 g/t gold cut-off

Tonnages and contained ounces presented above may not total as shown due to rounding. Readers are cautioned that mineral resources, which are not mineral reserves, do not have demonstrated economic viability.

Other key findings from the resource study can be summarized as follows:

- A significant increase in Inferred resource has occurred within the Main Zone.
- There are clearly defined areas for future in-fill drilling to aid in re-classification of inferred ounces within the resource.
- Mine planning for extraction of higher grade near-surface gold mineralization identified within potential starter pits will be optimized in the technical studies currently underway.

The Company currently focuses on the completion of additional in-fill drilling, principally within the northern region of the Main Zone, with the objective of enabling a re-classification of part of the inferred resource to the measured and indicated categories.

All core samples were fire assayed at ALS Chemex Laboratory in Vancouver, BC.

The resource estimate was prepared by independent resource estimate consultant Gary Giroux MASc, P.Eng. (BC) of Giroux Consultants Ltd., who is a qualified person by virtue of education, experience and membership in a professional association. He is independent of both the Company and ALS Chemex, applying all of the tests pursuant to section 1.5 of NI 43-101. Mr. Giroux visited the property on June 29, 2011.

The completed NI 43-101 compliant report was filed under the profile of the Company on SEDAR in December 2011.

Technical / Economic Studies

On February 22, 2012, the Company announced that it intended to proceed with a Feasibility Study for its Spanish Mountain gold project. The NI 43-101 compliant FS will be conducted by Tetra Tech (formerly Wardrop) as Project Manager and is expected to be completed by the third quarter of 2013.

The Company previously announced the commencement of a Pre-Feasibility Study ("PFS") for the project on August 31, 2011 and indicated that it may elect to initiate a Feasibility Study without prior completion of the PFS. With the recent discovery of the Phoenix Zone located approximately two kilometres west of the Main Zone within the project area, the Company believes that the optimal strategy is to quantify and include the new Phoenix zone in the project's economic studies without adversely impacting the schedules for the completion of the FS and the subsequent potential mine development. Prior to the completion of the definitive FS, the Company expects to provide a technical report in the fall of 2012 that will refine the estimates of capital and operating costs forming the basis for the PEA completed in December 2010. The report is expected to reflect the development of the Main Zone as well as the Phoenix Zone and will include the results from the studies currently underway in the areas of resource definition, geotechnical, metallurgical, tailings facilities and power supply.

Significant progress has been made in the project studies since their commencement in August 2011, with a number of areas achieving or approaching a prefeasibility level of detail. A staged development plan starting at 25,000 tonnes per day (tpd) and increasing to 40,000 tpd is being used as the basis for advancing the engineering studies. Due to higher grades to be processed during the first stage, the gold production using this scenario would be nearly constant for the life of mine at about 200,000 ounces per year. Geotechnical studies have advanced to a prefeasibility level of detail and the results of this work are being used for pit development and optimization studies. The metallurgical development of the project has advanced through variability testing and the results of the testwork are being used for the design and costing of a process plant to a prefeasibility level of accuracy. A staged development scenario has been developed for the tailings storage facility and these studies will also achieve a prefeasibility level of detail within the next few months. Studies of alternatives for the supply of power to the project for the two production levels are advancing. All studies that are being carried out for waste characterization are advancing beyond the level of detail that is usual for a prefeasibility level of study.

The completed Preliminary Economic Assessment for the Spanish Mountain project was conducted by AGP Mining Consultants of Barrie, Ontario. The study established realistic assumptions of operating and capital costs to develop a potential mine at the Spanish Mountain project. The key economic results of this assessment were released in November 2010. The NI 43-101 technical report was filed on December 23, 2010 under the Company's profile on SEDAR.

Using a long term constant gold price of US\$1,100 per ounce and an exchange rate of US\$1 to C\$1.10, the key economic parameters are summarized as follows:

Average Annual Gold Production (Years 1 to 5) (ounces)	213,800
Average Annual Gold Production Life of Mine (ounces)	172,400
Total Cash Cost, Years 1 to 5 (US \$ per ounce)	\$570
Total Cash Cost , LOM (US\$ per ounce)	\$625
Operating Cost (\$ per tonne plantfeed)	\$10.14
Mine Life	10 Years
Overall Gold Recovery	90%
Average Gold Grade of Ore Processed	0.51 g/t
Initial Capital Cost (\$ millions)	\$447 M
Pre-tax Undiscounted Cumulative Net Cash Flow	\$411 M
Pre-tax NPV @ 5%	\$209 M
Pre-tax IRR	14.7%
Payback (from start of milling)	5 Years

The initial capital cost and operating costs for the Spanish Mountain Project are as follows:

Initial Capital Cost Details	
Mining (equipment to be leased)	\$0 M
Processing	\$213 M
Tailings storage	\$47 M
Infrastructure	\$42 M
Owner Costs	\$8 M
Other indirect costs	\$67 M
Contingency	\$70 M
Total Initial Capital Cost	\$447 M
Operating Cost Details	
Mining Cost (per tonne mined, including lease charges)	\$1.54 M
Mining Cost (per tonne milled, including lease charges)	\$4.64 M
Processing and Tailing Cost	\$5.12 M
General and Administrative	\$0.38 M
Total Operating Cost (per tonne milled)	\$10.14 M

The sensitivity of Project economics to the price of gold is presented as follows:

Gold price (\$US)	\$1,000	\$1,200	\$1,300
Cumulative Net Cash Flow	\$222 M	\$600 M	\$788 M
IRR	8.6 %	20.2 %	25.2 %
Payback(from start of milling)	8 Years	4 Years	3 Years

The Company cautions, in accordance with National Instrument 43-101 -- *Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects* as adopted by the Canadian Securities Regulators, that the PEA referred to herein is preliminary in nature, includes inferred mineral resources that are considered too speculative geologically to have the economic consideration applied to them that would enable them to be categorized as mineral reserves, and there is no certainty that the PEA will be realized. Mineral resources are not mineral reserves and do not have demonstrated economic viability. Mr. Michael Waldegger, P.Geo. and Mr. Gordon Zurowski, P.Eng of AGP Mining Consultants of Barrie, Ontario are Qualified Persons within the meaning of NI 43-101.

Agreement with First Nations

The Company executed a protocol agreement with the Williams Lake Indian Band in March 2011 and separately with the Soda Creek Indian Band in March 2012. The agreement addresses issues in relation to the development of the Spanish Mountain gold project.

Under the protocol agreement, the Company recognizes and respects the band's asserted aboriginal rights and title in the area of the Spanish Mountain gold project and the band recognizes and respects the Company's rights and interests in the exploration and development of the Company's properties. It also reflects a commitment by both the Company and the band for continued engagement in a respectful and collaborative manner.

The protocol agreement provides capacity support to the band for its ongoing involvement in the project as well as training, employment, and business opportunities. Additionally, the parties have committed to negotiate a more detailed agreement regarding the impacts and benefits associated with the construction, operation and reclamation of a large scale mine development.

Environmental Assessment and Permitting Process

On August 4, 2011, the Company announced that the Project Description for the Spanish Mountain gold project had been accepted by both the British Columbia Environmental Assessment Office and the Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency. The Project Description describes the technical, economic, social, environmental, heritage and health components of the construction and operation of the proposed gold mine on the Spanish Mountain property. The acceptance of the Project Description means that the project had now entered the 'Pre-Application' phase of the Environmental Assessment and Permitting process. As part of the process, public meetings will be scheduled although the Company has already held initial public meetings in the communities of Likely, Big Lake and Williams Lake and has held several meetings with both the Williams Lake Indian Band and Soda Creek Indian Band. As described above, the Company has separately signed a protocol agreement with each Indian band.

For further details on the Environmental Assessment and Permitting processes, please refer to the websites listed below:

- British Columbia Environmental Assessment Office: <u>http://www.eao.gov.bc.ca</u>
- Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency: <u>http://www.ceaa.gc.ca</u>
- Government of Canada Major Projects Management Office: http://www.mpmo-bggp.gc.ca

SHG Property Group, B.C.

The Company holds a 100% interest in 129 claims, the SHG property group, comprising of four separate properties with a combined area of more than 569 square kilometres (219 square miles) in central British Columbia. These individual properties are: Prince George, Manson Creek, Thunder Ridge (Spanish Creek) and Dunkley. All of the properties are on trend and cover ground with a similar geological setting to the Spanish Mountain property.

The Thunder Ridge property is located approximately 100 km south of Spanish Mountain and the Company has completed 30 holes to date. Assay results from the 2010 drill program were published in October 2010. Seven NQ diamond holes with depths between 203 and 268 m and a total length of 1,797 m were completed. Three of the drill holes defined and further explored the eastward extent of the vein systems on the northern portion of the property while the remaining four holes tested the continuity of the veins previously drilled on the southern limits of the explored ground. The gold mineralization, as defined by a large surface soil geochemical gold anomaly, is associated with quartz veins. The soil anomaly trends southwest to northeast over 1.5 km and is 500 to 600 m wide. The quartz veins dip to the northeast. The vein system remains open to the north, south and at depth to the east. Drill results to date appear to confirm that the gold system extends east beyond the defined soil geochemical gold anomaly. A table containing significant assay results is available on the Company's website and under the Company's profile on SEDAR. Highlights of assay results include:

- Hole 10-SC-31 intersected 0.77 g/t gold over 54.0 m with an interval of 19.15 g/t gold and 83.80 g/t silver over 1.0m.
- Hole 10-SC-27 intersected 0.77 g/t gold over 20.0 m with an interval of 1.97 g/t gold and 100.00 g/t silver over 1.0m.
- Hole 10-SC-25 intersected 0.77 g/t gold over 6.6 m.

Given the Company's current focus on the Spanish Mountain gold project, the work on Thunder Ridge, in the near term, will be limited to performing additional analysis on drilling results to date along with a re-interpretation of the soil geochemistry of the area to delineate potential drill targets.

Manson Creek and Prince George projects are located to the north of the Spanish Mountain property and are at an earlier stage of exploration. Additional mapping and soil geochemistry work will be conducted.

Cedar Creek Area, B.C.

The Company acquired a 100% interest in the Cedar Creek property in June 2010. The property occupies an area of approximately 14 square km (or 5 square miles) and is contiguous to the western boundary of the Company's Spanish Mountain gold project. The property is referred to as the Cedar Creek area of the Spanish Mountain property.

Drilling completed in the Cedar Creek area during 2011 focused on the exploration of two geochemistry anomalies, one having an elevated gold signature and the other a copper signature. The drilling of the gold anomaly identified a new gold zone, the Phoenix Zone. The Company is currently focusing on incorporating this new zone into the Spanish Mountain gold project as part of the studies that are continuing to advance the project towards the completion of a feasibility study in 2013.

The drill results of the copper targets returned copper concentrations over wide intervals with narrow intervals of higher grade copper concentrations over the range of 0.11% to 0.44%Cu. The highest grade indicated was encountered within pyrrhotite mineralization in volcanics. The source of the copper will be further studied in conjunction with interpretation of the geophysical data obtained for the area. Further work on the copper anomaly will be undertaken as a second priority to the advancement of the gold project.

Results of Operations

Three Months Ended March 31, 2012 Compared to Three Months Ended March 31, 2011

General and administrative (G&A) expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2012 total \$649,967, which is comparable to \$635,935 for the three months ended March 31, 2011. There are noticeable increases in consulting fees of \$60,633 (2012--\$67,133 vs. 2011--\$6,500) and travel related expenses of \$19,795 (2012--\$46,290 vs. 2011--\$26,495). The former reflects the Company's decision to retain a UK-based firm at the end of the first quarter of 2011 to facilitate its activities in Europe where a significant number of its shareholders are based. Increase in travel expenses is connected to higher level of investor relations activities during the three months ended March 31, 2012 relative to the same period in the prior year. These increases are partially offset by a decrease in salaries and wages of \$17,413 (2012--\$190,379 vs. 2011--\$207,792) due to a change in compensation arrangements for an executive position. In addition, share-based payments for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 decrease by \$62,909 (2012--\$194,615 vs. 2011--\$257,524) over the same quarter in the prior year. Share-based payments recognize the portion of the fair values of granted options attributable to the periods using the Black-Scholes valuation model. The fair values of options are influenced by such parameters as stock price volatility and current interest rates incorporated in the valuation model. Share-based payments are non-cash expenditures.

For the three months ended March 31, 2012, the Company earned an interest income of \$42,576 (2011--\$643) on its cash balance, which on average was higher than the amount during the same period in the prior year as a result of fund raising occurring in the March and July of 2011.

For the three months ended March 31, 2012, the Company recorded a deferred income tax recovery of \$373,621 compared to a recovery of \$153,830 for the same period in the prior year. The current period includes a provision of \$202,000 for investment tax credit generated on its exploration expenditures whereas in prior year most of the expenditures were renounced under its flow-through financing program and hence did not generate an investment tax credit. The remaining balance of the Company's deferred tax recovery primarily reflects the income tax benefit computed on the net loss for the periods.

For the three months ended March 31, 2012, the Company incurred expenditures on its mineral properties, before recoverable tax credits, totaling \$3,763,761 (2011 - \$1,054,174), which have been capitalized in accordance with its accounting policies.

Summary of Quarterly Results

The selected quarterly consolidated information set out below has been derived from and should be read in conjunction with the previous eight quarterly consolidated financial statements for each respective financial period.

Spanish Mountain Gold Ltd. MD&A for the three months ended March 31, 2012

	Revenue \$	Income (Loss) \$	Income (Loss) per share \$
March 31, 2012	Nil	(233,770)	(0.00)
December 31, 2011	Nil	(250,401)	(0.00)
September 30, 2011	Nil	(681,193)	(0.01)
June 30, 2011	Nil	(320,566)	(0.00)
March 31, 2011	Nil	(482,424)	(0.00)
December 31, 2010	Nil	(123,969)	(0.00)
September 30, 2010	Nil	(643,471)	(0.00)
June 30, 2010	Nil	(775,972)	(0.00)

While the Company's G&A expenses tend to be incurred evenly throughout the year, fluctuations in expenditures occur reflecting the seasonal variations of exploration and the Company's ability to defer certain expenditures without hindering its projects' progress. The Company's ability to raise capital to fund its project activities may also influence the timing of certain expenditures. For example, most exploration activities occur during the non-winter months with an attendant increase in G&A expenses over the period. Furthermore, periods ending in December typically have year-end adjustments such as deferred income tax recoveries or share based payments for incentive stock options.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

At March 31, 2012, the Company has working capital of \$12,287,570 (December 2011 - \$15,602,496), which includes \$11,376,614 (December 2011 - \$17,290,967) in cash and cash equivalents and \$2,341,587 (December 2011 - \$1,474,091) in BC Mining Exploration Tax Credit (BCMETC) receivable. The decrease in cash balance during the three months ended March 31, 2012 primarily reflects cash expenditures incurred for the Company's operations. The BCMETC balance represents the expected refund from the Company's outstanding BCMETC claims for prior years' qualified exploration expenditures as well as an accrual for the expenditures on the Company's properties. The filed claim is subject to Canada Revenue Agency's formal assessment process, which includes a field audit by its auditors. The actual refund may be different from what the Company has accrued and any adjustment will be recorded when CRA releases its Notice of Assessment for the claim.

The current working capital balance described above is expected to sufficiently meet the Company's planned exploration expenditures and other development activities. The Company is at a development/ exploration stage and has no revenue from its business operations. The Company's ability to meet its future obligations and maintain operations for the foreseeable future is contingent upon successful completion of additional financing arrangements. Although the Company has been successful in raising funds in the equity markets to date, there can be no assurance that additional funding will be available in the future at reasonable terms.

At Report Date, the Company had 48,794,690 warrants outstanding with exercise price ranging from \$0.23 to \$0.70 and 11,222,200 options outstanding (7,629,700 of which are vested and exercisable) with exercise price ranging from \$0.25 to \$1.59. If these instruments are exercised by their holders, they will provide additional funding for the Company. However, there is no assurance that the holders of these instruments will choose to exercise prior to their expiry dates. The decision to exercise is dependent largely on the prevailing share price of the Company's common stock relative to the exercise prices of these instruments.

The change in cash flow activities can be summarized as follows:

		Increase (Decrease) in Cash & Cash Equivalents for the Three Months Ended March 31		
	2012	2011		
Operating Activities	\$(2,137,225)	\$(151 <i>,</i> 246)		
Financing Activities	\$ -	\$4,921,241		
Investing Activities	\$(3,777,127)	\$(1,058,873)		
Total Change in Cash	\$(5,914,352)	\$3,711,122		
Cash and Cash Equivalents, Beginning of the Year	\$17,290,967	\$2,660,484		
Cash and Cash Equivalents, End of the Period	\$11,376,614	\$6,371,606		

Cash used in operating activities primarily comprise general and administrative expenditures as the Company is at an exploration/ project development stage and has no significant source of revenue. The increase in the use of cash for operating activities for the three months ended March 31, 2012 is due to paying down of accounts payable balance by \$1,609,162 and an increase in prepaid expense of \$199,733 primarily related to the new office lease.

The Company is dependent on equity financing to fund its operations. For the three months ended March 31, 2012, the Company did not complete any financing transactions whereas the Company received net proceeds of \$4,921,241 during the same period in 2011 primarily from the issuance of shares pursuant to a private placement.

The Company's expenditures of \$3,763,761 on mineral properties account for most its cash used in investing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2012. During the same period in the prior year, the Company incurred expenditures of \$1,054,174 on mineral properties reflecting the lower level of activities planned.

Transactions with Related Parties

The Company has engaged Beattie Consulting Ltd., on an ongoing basis, to provide technical and strategic advice since 2010. Following the appointment of Dr. Morris Beattie to the Company's Board of Directors in March 2011, Beattie Consulting Ltd., of which Dr. Beattie is major shareholder, has been classified as a related party. For the three months ended March 31, 2012, the Company paid a total of \$54,000 (2011 --\$nil) to the consulting firm.

Due to the significant increase in investor and shareholder activities in Europe during the last two years, the Board of Directors has authorized the Company to retain Lancelot Gold Limited, a London-based firm, to provide marketing, administrative support and, if required, office facility. A director of the Company is a director and major shareholder of this firm. For the three months ended March 31, 2012, the Company was charged \$59,633 (2010 --\$19,888) for such services.

Compensation of key management personnel, including directors, for the three months ended March 31, 2012 is \$472,892 (2011 -- \$456,925) which includes \$186,725 (2011 -- \$247,086) in non-cash share based payments. Certain executive officers are entitled to termination benefits equivalent up to two years' gross salary totalling \$740,000 in the event of a change of control. No termination benefits were paid to any key management personnel during the three months ended March 31, 2012 (2011 -- \$nil).

Financial Instruments and Other Instruments

The Company has classified its financial instruments as follows:

- Cash and cash equivalents as fair value through profit or loss ("FVTPL")
- Accounts receivable (excluding taxes receivable) as loans and receivables
- Deposits for reclamation as held-to-maturity
- Accounts payable, accrued liabilities and flow-through share premium as other financial liabilities.

The carrying values of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable and accounts payable, approximate their fair values due to the short-term maturity of these financial instruments. The carrying value of reclamation deposits approximates fair value since amounts held earn interest at market rates.

The Company's risk exposure and the impact on the Company's financial instruments are summarized below:

(a) Credit risk

Credit risk refers to the potential that counterparty to a financial instrument will fail to discharge its contractual obligations and arises principally from the Company's holdings of cash and cash equivalents. The Company manages credit risk, in respect of cash and cash equivalents by holding these at a major Canadian financial institution. In regards to accounts receivable, the Company is not exposed to significant credit risk as majority of the accounts receivable is due from the government. Concentration of credit risk exists with respect to the Company's cash and cash equivalents as all amounts are held at a single major Canadian financial institution.

(b) Liquidity risk

Liquidity risk is the risk that the Company will encounter difficulty in satisfying financial obligations as they become due. The Company manages its liquidity risk by forecasting cash flows from operations and anticipated investing and financing activities. The Company maintains sufficient cash and cash equivalents at March 31, 2012 in the amount of \$11,376,614 and receivables of \$463,285 in order to meet short-term liabilities. At March 31, 2012, the Company had accounts payable and accrued liabilities of \$2,237,519, which have contractual maturities of 90 days or less.

(c) Market risk

Market risk is the risk that the fair value or future cash flows of a financial instrument will fluctuate because of changes in market prices. The Company is exposed to interest rate, foreign currency risk, and other price risk as follows:

I. Interest rate risk

The Company's cash and cash equivalents are held in bank accounts earning interest at variable interest rates. Due to the short-term nature of these financial instruments and the prevailing interest rate environment, fluctuations in market rates do not have a significant impact on estimated fair values as of March 31, 2012.

II. Foreign currency risk

The Company's operations are located in Canada with substantially all transactions denominated in Canadian dollars and, accordingly, the Company is not exposed to significant foreign currency risk.

III. Other price risk

Other price risk is the risk that the fair value or future cash flows of a financial instrument will fluctuate due to changes in market prices, other than those arising from interest rate risk. The Company is not exposed to significant other price risk with respect to its financial instrument as their fair values and future cash flows are not impacted by fluctuations in market prices.

Outstanding Share Data

The Company had the following common shares, stock options and warrants outstanding as at Report Date:

	At Report Date
Common shares	166,435,438
Stock options	11,222,200
Warrants	48,794,690
Fully Diluted shares outstanding	226,452,328

Off-balance Sheet Arrangements

The Company has no off-balance sheet arrangements.

Proposed Transactions

The Company does not currently have any proposed transactions. All current transactions are fully disclosed in the consolidated interim financial statements for the three months ended March 31, 2012.

Critical Accounting Estimates

Significant areas requiring the use of management estimates include the collectability of amounts receivable, recovery of British Columbia Mining Exploration Tax Credit receivable, balances of accrued liabilities, the fair value of financial instruments, the rates for amortization of property and equipment, the recoverability of mineral property interests, determination of asset retirement and environmental obligations, estimates of deferred income tax assets and liabilities, valuation allowances for deferred income tax assets and the determination of variables used in the calculations of share-based payment. While management believes that these estimates are reasonable, actual results could differ from those estimates and could impact future results of operations and cash flows.

Commitments

The Company's minimum combined lease payments for office premises and equipment for the next five years are as follows:

2012 (remainder)	\$ 141,744
2013	204,486
2014	189,976
2015	175,764
2016	171,971
	\$ 883,939

Commitments related to mineral properties are as follows:

Spanish Mountain Property, British Columbia

Pursuant to the purchase agreement, the Company's discharged the remaining obligation of \$51,000 with a cash payment on January 20, 2012. The wholly-owned property is subject to various net smelter returns ("NSR") at 2.5%. The Company may, at its option, reduce the NSR to 1% or 1.5% dependent on the underlying mineral claims with a maximum aggregate payment of \$1,000,000 to the vendors.

SHG, Cariboo Mining Division, British Columbia

The Company purchased 100% of five mineral properties to the northwest of the Spanish Mountain property. The vendor retains a 2% NSR, 1% of which may be purchased by the Company for \$1,000,000.

Cedar Creek Property, British Columbia

The wholly-owned property is subject to a 2.5% NSR in favour of a third party. The NSR may be purchased by the Company for \$500,000 per 1% NSR. On May 23, 2011 the Company acquired two additional mineral claims that are adjacent to the Cedar Creek Property for \$110,000 cash. The claims are subject to a 3% NSR, 2.5% of which may be purchased for \$1,000,000.

New Standards and Interpretations Not Yet Adopted

All of the new and revised standards described below may be applicable to the Company and may be early-adopted. The Company has not yet assessed the impact of these standards.

(a) IFRS 9 Financial Instruments (2010)

A revised version of IFRS 9 incorporating revised requirements for the classification and measurement of financial liabilities, and carrying over the existing de-recognition requirements from IAS 39 *Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement*.

The revised financial liability provisions maintain the existing amortized cost measurement basis for most liabilities. New requirements apply where an entity chooses to measure a liability at FVTPL; in these cases, the portion of the change in fair value related to changes in the entity's own credit risk is presented in other comprehensive income rather than within profit or loss.

Applicable to annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2015; this standard supersedes IFRS 9 (2009). However, for annual reporting periods beginning before January 1, 2015, an entity may early-adopt IFRS 9 (2009) instead of applying this standard.

(b) IFRS 11 Joint Arrangements

Replaces IAS 31 Interests in Joint Ventures. Requires a party to a joint arrangement to determine the type of joint arrangement in which it is involved by assessing its rights and obligations and then account for those rights and obligations in accordance with that type of joint arrangement.

Joint arrangements are either joint operations or joint ventures:

A joint operation is a joint arrangement whereby the parties that have joint control of the arrangement (joint operators) have rights to the assets, and obligations for the liabilities, relating to the arrangement. Joint operators recognize their assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses in relation to its interest in a joint operation (including their share of any such items arising jointly).

A joint venture is a joint arrangement whereby the parties that have joint control of the arrangement (joint venturers) have rights to the net assets of the arrangement. A joint venturer applies the equity method of accounting for its investment in a joint venture in accordance with IAS 28 Investments in Associates and Joint Ventures (2011). Unlike IAS 31, the use of "proportionate consolidation" to account for joint ventures is not permitted.

Applicable to annual reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013. If early-adopted, must be adopted together with IFRS 10, IFRS 12, IAS 27 (2011) and IAS 28 (2011).

(c) IFRS 12 Disclosure of Interests in Other Entities

Requires the extensive disclosure of information that enables users of financial statements to evaluate the nature of, and risks associated with, interests in other entities and the effects of those interests on its financial position, financial performance and cash flows.

In high-level terms, the required disclosures are grouped into the following broad categories:

- Significant judgments and assumptions such as how control, joint control, significant influence has been determined;
- Interests in subsidiaries including details of the structure of the group, risks associated with structured entities, changes in control and so on;
- Interests in joint arrangements and associates the nature, extent and financial effects of interests in joint arrangements and associates (including names, details and summarized financial information); and
- Interests in unconsolidated structured entities information to allow an understanding of the nature and extent of interests in unconsolidated structured entities and to evaluate the nature of, and changes in, the risks associated with its interests in unconsolidated structured entities.

IFRS 12 lists specific examples and additional disclosures, which further expand upon each of these disclosure objectives, and includes other guidance on the extensive disclosures required.

Applicable to annual reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013; if early-adopted, must be adopted together with IFRS 10, IFRS 11, IAS 27 (2011) and IAS 28 (2011).

(d) IFRS 13 Fair Value Measurement

Replaces the guidance on fair value measurement in existing IFRS accounting literature with a single standard.

This IFRS defines fair value, provides guidance on how to determine fair value and requires disclosures about fair value measurements. However, IFRS 13 does not change the requirements regarding which items should be measured or disclosed at fair value.

IFRS 13 applies when another IFRS requires or permits fair value and requires disclosures about fair value measurements (and measurements, such as fair value less costs to sell, based on fair value or disclosures about hose measurements). With some exceptions, the standard requires entities to classify these measurements into a "fair value hierarchy" based on the nature of the inputs:

- Level 1 Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the entity can assess at the measurement date;
- Level 2 Inputs other than quoted market prices included within Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly; and
- Level 3 Unobservable inputs for the asset or liability.

Entities are required to make various disclosures depending upon the nature of the fair value measurement (e.g., whether it is recognized in the financial statements or merely disclosed) and the level in which it is classified.

Applicable to annual reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013.

(e) IFRIC 20 Stripping Costs in the Production Phase of a Surface Mine

Clarifies the requirements for accounting for stripping costs associated with waste removal in surface mining, including when production stripping costs should be recognized as an asset, how the asset is initially recognized, and subsequent measurement.

The Interpretation requires stripping activity costs, which provide improved access to ore, are recognized as a noncurrent "stripping activity asset" when certain criteria are met. The stripping activity asset is depreciated or amortized on a systematic basis, over the expected useful life of the identified component of the ore body that becomes more accessible as a result of the stripping activity, using the units-of-production method unless another method is more appropriate.

Applicable to annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013.

Subsequent Events

- 1) 232,000 stock options with a weighted average exercise price of \$0.82 expired.
- 2) On April 11, 2012 and April 23, 2012, the Company granted 1,000,000 and 2,350,000 stock options with an exercise price of \$0.44 and \$0.55 respectively expiring in five years.

Other Requirements

Additional disclosure of the Company's technical reports, material change reports, news releases, and other information can be obtained under the Company's profile on SEDAR.

Risks and Uncertainties

The Company's principal activity is mineral exploration and development. Companies in this industry are subject to many and varied kinds of risks, including but not limited to, environmental, metal prices, political and economical.

Although the Company has taken steps to verify the title to mineral properties in which it has an interest, in accordance with industry standards for the current stage of exploration of such properties, these procedures do not guarantee the

Company's title. Property title may be subject to unregistered prior agreements or transfers and title may be affected by undetected defects.

The Company has no significant source of operating cash flow and no revenues from operations. None of the Company's mineral properties currently have reserves. The Company has limited financial resources. Substantial expenditures are required to be made by the Company to establish ore reserves.

The property interests owned by the Company, or in which it has an option to earn an interest are in the exploration stages only, are without known bodies of commercial mineralization and have no ongoing mining operations. Mineral exploration involves a high degree of risk and few properties, which are explored, are ultimately developed into producing mines. Exploration of the Company's mineral properties may not result in any discoveries of commercial bodies of mineralization. If the Company's efforts do not result in any discovery of commercial mineralization, the Company will be forced to look for other exploration projects or cease operations.

The Company is subject to the laws and regulations relating to environmental matters in all jurisdictions in which it operates, including provisions relating to property reclamation, discharge of hazardous material and other matters. The Company may also be held liable should environmental problems be discovered that were caused by former owners and operators of its properties and properties in which it has previously held an interest. The Company conducts its mineral exploration activities in compliance with applicable environmental protection legislation. The Company is not aware of any existing environmental problems related to any of its current or former properties that may result in material liability to the Company.

Cautionary Notices

The Company's consolidated financial statements for the three months ended March 31, 2012, and this accompanying MD&A contain statements that constitute "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of National Instrument 51-102, Continuous Disclosure Obligations of the Canadian Securities Administrators. Forward-looking statements often, but not always, are identified by the use of words such as "seek", "anticipate", "believe", "plan", "estimate", "expect", "targeting" and "intend" and statements that an event or result "may", "will", "should", "could", or "might" occur or be achieved and other similar expressions. Forward-looking statements in this MD&A include statements regarding the Company's future exploration plans and expenditures, the satisfaction of rights and performance of obligations under agreements to which the Company is a part, the ability of the Company to hire and retain employees and consultants and estimated administrative assessment and other expenses. The forward-looking statements that are contained in this MD&A involve a number of risks and uncertainties. As a consequence, actual results might differ materially from results forecast or suggested in these forward-looking statements. Some of these risks and uncertainties are identified under the heading "RISKS AND UNCERTAINTIES" in this MD&A. Additional information regarding these factors and other important factors that could cause results to differ materially may be referred to as part of particular forward-looking statements. The forwardlooking statements are qualified in their entirety by reference to the important factors discussed under the heading "RISKS AND UNCERTAINTIES" and to those that may be discussed as part of particular forward-looking statements. Forwardlooking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties, assumptions and other factors that may cause the actual results, performance or achievements of the Company to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause the actual results to differ include market prices, exploration success, continued availability of capital and financing, inability to obtain required regulatory approvals and general market conditions. These statements are based on a number of assumptions, including assumptions regarding general market conditions, the timing and receipt of regulatory approvals, the ability of the Company and other relevant parties to satisfy regulatory requirements, the availability of financing for proposed transactions and programs on reasonable terms and the ability of third-party service providers to deliver services in a timely manner. Forward-looking statements contained herein are made as of the date of this MD&A and the Company disclaims any obligation to update any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or results or otherwise. There can be no assurance that forward-looking statements will prove to be accurate, as actual results and future events could differ materially from those anticipated in such statements. Accordingly, readers should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements.